

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/820,029	03/28/2001	Jeffrey Wissing	NC17377	3683
32294 75	90 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			MOORE, IAN N	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS COR	NER, VA 22182		2661	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/820,029	WISSING ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
lan N. Moore	2661

	lan N. Moore	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	hui maine in the data of filles a bai-t	Fujil pot ha antanad h	0001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-6</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s) (hr	nt, efer GUYEN
		CHAU NO	GUYEN "
		SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY (	ENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 7 is amended to include "wherein the SLIC provides power to a subscriber line during the quiescent state" and claim 9 is amended to include "activating switching means for bypassing the DSL modem during a quiescent state", these additions raise new issue.

3NM 6/3/05